

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.opto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,555	02/24/2004	Cary B. Cochenour	040073	8139
7590 09/07/2010 Craig G. Cochenour, Esq.			EXAMINER	
Buchanan Ingersoll PC One Oxford Centre, 20th Floor 301 Grant Street			COHEN, LEE S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Pittsburgh, PA 15219			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/07/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/785,555 COCHENOUR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Lee S. Cohen 3739 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Information Disclesure Statement(s) (FTO/SB/08) 6) Other: Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Attachment(s)

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-5, 7-10, and 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Jorgensen (2,617,005). The reference discloses an animal bed including a movable floor 10, heating elements 12, 14, separate actuator element 3, and a power cord.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior at are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jorgensen (2,617,005) in view of Goldston et al (5,303,485). Jorgensen fails to disclose the use of the specific actuator. Goldston et al provides an alternative solution to a pressure sensitive switch and discloses the use of a transistor in place of such a switch (Column 9, Lines 4-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention to provide a transistor as the actuator to provide a more sophisticated switching means responsive to the presence or absence of the weight of an animal since a predictable result would ensue.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,555

Art Unit: 3739

Claims 11, 12, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jorgensen (2,617,005) in view of Peeples et al (6,237,531). Jorgensen fails to disclose the specific structure of the animal bed including a wall and opening. Such structure is conventional in the art as disclosed by Peeples et al as shown in Figures 1 and 2. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to form the animal bed of Jorgensen with such features since a predictable result would ensue.

Claims 13 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jorgensen (2,617,005) in view of Elbert et al (3,041,441). Jorgensen discloses preset thermostats 16, 17. Elbert et al disclose the use of an adjustable thermostat 62 in a heating mat. Given this teaching, it would have been obvious to employ an adjustable thermostat in Jorgensen to better control the heating of the animal bed since a predictable result would ensue.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are not deemed to be persuasive. The heating source A in Jorgensen is clearly surrounded by the walls 7 of the tray. The actual actuator element of Jorgensen is spring switch 3 with operating lever 4. This element corresponds to the disclosed actuator element 7 and switch 8. Spring arm 5 is a separate element that is secured to the walls of the tray and is not secured to the actuator element. It merely enables superior actuation of the actuator element similarly to disclosed temperature source 5 and support 15. Therefore, Jorgensen is still deemed to be anticipatory of the rejected claims since the actuator element is unsecured to the wall. Accordingly, the various obviousness rejections are still considered proper.

Art Unit: 3739

Conclusion

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier Application No. 10/785,555. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lee S. Cohen whose telephone number is 571-272-4763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 571-272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/785,555 Page 5

Art Unit: 3739

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Lee S. Cohen Primary Examiner Art Unit 3739

/Lee S. Cohen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3739 August 19, 2010